The Crystal Structure of NbSe₂ at 15°K

M. MAREZIO, P. D. DERNIER, A. MENTH,* AND G. W. HULL, JR.

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Received September 3, 1971

At 40°K the 2H polytype of NbSe₂ undergoes a transition which is accompanied by anomalies in the electrical resistivity and Hall effect. However, our magnetic susceptibility measurements do not show a pronounced anomaly at the transition. The crystal structure of 2H-NbSe₂ has been determined from single-crystal data above and below the transition. At room temperature 2H-NbSe₂ has a layered hexagonal structure with the niobium atoms at the center of trigonal selenium prisms. At the transition the unit cell doubles along the *a* axis. The hexagonal symmetry is conserved and 2/3 of the niobium atoms are displaced from their δm^2 -point symmetry. The coupling of these niobium atoms seems to be the driving mechanism for the crystallographic distortion.

At room temperature the 2H polytype niobium diselenide, 2H-NbSe₂, has a layered structure in which each niobium atom is surrounded by six selenium atoms arranged as a trigonal prism and each selenium atom is bonded to three niobium atoms. This structure belongs to space group $P6_3/mmc$ with AcABcB layer sequence. In this notation the capital letters designate the anion sheets while the small letters designate the cation sites. A thorough description of layer structure chalcogenides and their properties has been published by Wilson and Yoffe (1). Lee et al. reported that at $T \simeq 40^{\circ}$ K, 2H-NbSe₂ underwent a transition which was characterized by a maximum in the magnetic susceptibility and a sign reversal in the Hall coefficient (2). This anomalous behavior was interpreted as experimental evidence for antiferromagnetic ordering. Recent studies of nuclear magnetic resonance of 93Nb in NbSe2 by Ehrenfreund et al. (3) have shown that this material is definitely not magnetically ordered below 40°K. Furthermore, these authors reported that the axially symmetric ⁹³Nb quadrupole powder pattern observed at 77°K and 300°K, was found to be split at 4.2 and 20°K. This suggested that the transition could be accompanied by a structural distortion which would break the hexagonal array of Nb atoms in the layers and that this crystallographic distortion could be responsible for the observed anomalies in the electrical properties. This paper

* Present address: Brown-Boveri Research Center CH-5401 Baden, Switzerland. reports the results of a single-crystal structure determination at 15° K, and the variation of the lattice parameters and the magnetic susceptibility between 5° K and 298° K.

Experimental

The powder samples of 2*H*-NbSe₂ were the same as those used in Ref. (3). Single crystals were grown by vapor-transport in a 100° gradient from 1000 to 900°C. Iodine (5 mg/cc) was used as a carrier. The runs were of two weeks duration. Powder finer than 175 mesh was mounted in an MRC cryostat which was aligned in a GE-XRD5 powder diffractometer. Ni-filtered $CuK\alpha$ radiation was used. Complete tracings were taken of three different samples at 298, 77 and \sim 5°K. All the patterns could be indexed on a hexagonal cell which proved to be the polytype 2H-NbSe₂. The preferred orientation was quite pronounced along the direction [001]. As a result of this, three reflections, 10.15, 11.14 and 00.16, were observed in an angular region of 2θ greater than 147°. These reflections were utilized to determine the variation of the lattice parameters as a function of temperature. An internal silicon standard was used to determine the zero in 2θ of the diffractometer.

The crystal structure of 2H-NbSe₂ was determined at 298°K and 15°K from single-crystal data collected on a GE-XRD5 diffractometer which was equipped with a single-crystal goniostat, a scintillation counter and a decade scalar. Zr-filtered MoK α radiation with an 8° take-off angle was used. The sample, which was a small platelet, was mounted on a microgoniometer head with the a^* parallel to the axis of the head. A Mylar baffle was suspended about the crystal in such a way as to allow complete freedom of motion around the ϕ and 2θ circles and limited freedom along the χ circle. Liquid helium was slowly pumped through a transfer tube and the exiting gas was directed at the crystal through a slot in the Mylar baffle. The temperature was calibrated against the helium head pressure. A gold/iron-Chromel thermocouple in the place of the crystal was used for the calibration. Further details of this low-temperature attachment will be published elsewhere (4).

At both temperatures 22 independent reflections were measured on each side of the platelet. For each reflection the approximate angles 2θ , χ , and ϕ were set semiautomatically. Once a particular reflection was acquired, each angle was then maximized manually. A tracing of the reflection was taken on a strip-chart recorder at a rate of 2°/min. The integrated intensity was measured with a planimeter. An infinite-plate absorption correction was applied to the 00l reflections at room temperature since only these reflections were used in the refinement. An empirical absorption correction based on the room-temperature refinement, was applied to all 22 independent reflections at low temperature. The least-squares refinements were carried out by using the ORXLS program. The scattering factor tables were those for neutral atoms (5). $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ were taken from the values reported by Cromer for MoK α radiation (6). At room temperature the lattice parameters were measured from a film taken on a 114.6 mm diameter Gandolfi Camera. In this camera the single crystal was rotated in a random manner to produce a Debye Scherrer powder pattern with Cu K_{α} radiation. The sample was the same single crystal used for collecting the intensity data. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed in the temperature region from 7°K to 300°K by means of the Faraday method using a Cahn balance.

Results

Figure 1 shows the magnetic susceptibility data as a function of temperature. In contrast to the data of Lee et al. (2) we did not observe a peak in the susceptibility around 50° K in our samples. The small increase at temperatures below 40° K may be caused by a small amount of a magnetic impurity or could be related to the change in crystal structure. It is not possible to separate these two effects.

The powder diffractometer tracings at 298, 77, 5°K clearly showed that if the ~40°K transition were accompanied by a crystallographic transition, this transition does not involve a change in crystal symmetry. Given a fixed symmetry, the transition could involve the loss of the center of symmetry or a doubling of the unit cell along the *a* and/or the *c* axes. All these models were tested during the refinements.

The variation of lattice parameters vs temperature for the three powder samples showed a decrease in



FIG. 1. Molar magnetic susceptibility of 2H-NbSe₂ as a function of temperature.

c/a ratio from a value of 3.642 at 298°K to 3.628 at 5°K. The first sample showed a hysteresis of 80° in the discontinuity of c/a versus T. We observed a discontinuous decrease of 0.1% in c/a. This discontinuity occurred between 77 and 5°K on cooling and at 120°K on warming. For the other two samples a smaller discontinuous decrease was observed but without the hysteresis. The $\Delta(c/a)$ at the transition was barely above our limit of detectability (0.03%) and an asymptotic curve could fit the data. Both the thermal and mechanical history of the powdered samples were important factors affecting the transition. Also the superconductivity transition appears to be sensitive to small changes in the stoichiometry of the samples (7). The singlecrystal data corresponding to the refinements done at 298°K are reported in Table I. The final zparameter for the selenium atoms is in good agreement with the value previously reported by Brown and Beerntsen (8). Its standard deviation improved by a factor of 5. The principal interatomic distances are also reported in the same table.

In Tables II and III the crystal data for 2H-NbSe₂ at 15°K are reported. Three models were assumed for the low-temperature structure refinements. The

TABLE I

CRYSTAL DATA OF 2H-NbSe2 AT 298°K

a = 3.4446 (2) c = 12.5444 (7)					
c/a = 3.6418					
Space group P63/	mm	с			
2 formula units pe	er u	nit cel	1		
2 Nb in 0,0,‡					
4 Se in $\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},z$, with	z =	0.117	2 (2)		
Overall temperatu	ire	factor	= 0.49 (7)	Ų	
h	k	l	F_0	F _c	
0	0	4	46	49	
0	0	6	86	85	
0	0	8	128	129	
0	0	10	5	6	
0	0	12	20	20	
0	0	14	72	67	
0	0	16	63	61	
0	0	18	9	9	
0	0	22	42	43	
$R = \sum \Delta F / \sum F_0 $	=	3.0%			

6 Nb-Nb 3.445 Å

15

6 Nb-Se 2.595 (2) Å

- 6 Se-Se 3.445 Å in the xy plane
- 3.333 (5) Å along the z axis 3 Se-Se
- Se-Se along the octahedral edge 3.549 (5) Å
- Vertical separation of sandwiches 2.939 Å

first model involves the loss of center of symmetry. The space group is $P6_3mc$. Two Nb atoms are at 001, two Se atoms are at $\frac{1}{3}z$ with $z \sim 0.117$ and the other two at $\frac{1}{12}z$ with $z \sim 0.883$. Convergence was attained after a few cycles of refinement with an $R = \sum |\Delta F| / \sum |F_0| = 3.1 \%$

As required by the NMR results our second model contained two types of Nb atoms with different point symmetry. This condition plus the requirement that the crystal symmetry remain hexagonal, led to a unit cell with $\mathbf{a}_{new} = 2\mathbf{a}_{old}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{new} = \mathbf{c}_{old}$ in the same group $P6_3/mmc$. It was not necessary to double the c parameter since neither the powder and single-crystal X-ray data, nor the NMR results

TABLE II

CRYSTAL DATA OF 2H-NbSe2 AT 15°K

	_	_	-			
Unit cell with	dou	ble	d-a 1	lattice paramet	er	
a = 6.880 (1) Å c = 12.482 (2) c/a = 1.814	Å					
Space group P	6.4	mm	c			
8 formula weig	shte.	nei	- uni	it cell		
6 Nh in $r 2r +$	· r	= 0	496	7 (9)		
2 Nh in 001	,	Ť				
12 Se in x.2x.z	: x	= 0	.167	(8(7), z = 0.11)	60 (2)	
4 Se in $\frac{2}{1}$, $\frac{1}{2}$; 2	; = ().11	78 (6)		
Overall tempe	ratu	re f	acto	or 0.09 (4)		
•	h	k	l	F_0	Fc	
-	1	0	3	2	2	-
	2	1	3	6	8	
	2	1	5	3	3	
	3	0	7	6	4	
	3	0	1	7	8	
	0	0	4	193	194	
	0	0	6	349	356	
	0	0	8	523	529	
	0	0	12	79	78	
	0	0	14	337	316	
	0	0	16	288	274	
	0	0	22	239	237	
	2	0	0	31	30	
	4	4	0	18	20	
	4	6	0	273	202 240	
	2	2	2	167	164	
	4	4	4	117	107	
	4	4	2	100	107	
	4	4	6	204	199	
	2	2	4	159	157	
	4	4	8	322	320	
	2	2	6	292	287	
	2	2	8	431	449	
	4	4	16	198	197	

	IADLE	11—commuea	
$R = \sum \Delta F / \sum F_0 $	= 2.8%		

TADICIT

	01 2:078
2 Nb(1)-Nb(2)	3.440 (6) Å
2 Nb(1)-Nb(1)	3.372 (6) Å
2 Nb(1)-Nb(1)	3.51 (2) Å
6 Nb(2)-Nb(1)	3.440 (6) Å
Nb(1) prism	
2 Nb(1)-Se(2)	2.613 (5) Å
4 Nb(1)-Se(1)	2.576 (6) Å
4 Se(2)-Se(1)	3.440(5)Å) in the up plane
2 Se(1)-Se(1)	$3.42(1) \text{ Å}$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \ln x y \text{ plane}$
2 Se(1) - Se(1)	3.35(1)Å) along the gavis
Se(2)-Se(2)	3.30 (1) Å $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ along the 2 axis
Nb(2) prism	
6 Nb(2)-Se(1)	2.608 (2) Å
6 Se(1)-Se(1)	3.464 (5) Å \sim in the xy plane
3 Se(1)-Se(1)	3.346 (6) Å ~ along the z axis
Se(1)-Se(2)	3.519 (5) Å) between two lowers
Se(1)-Se(1)	3.522 (5) Å) between two layers
Vertical separation	on of the sandwiches 2.906 Å.

gave experimental evidence for this assumption. Two Nb atoms are in 00¹/₄ with point symmetry 5m2, while the remaining six are in $x_{2x,\frac{1}{2}}$ (x ~ 0.5) with point symmetry mm. Four Se atoms are in $\frac{13}{13}z$ with $z \simeq 0.117$ and the other 12 in x, 2x, z with $x \sim \frac{1}{6}$ and $z \sim 0.117$. After a few cycles of refinement based on the reflections in common with the smaller cell, structure factors for reflections with odd hand/or k were calculated. Of these latter reflections, the ten strongest ones were measured at 15°K. None of their intensities were more than twice the background count. The refinement of the structure was continued including five of these weak reflections. Their assigned intensities were one-half the background count. The final results of this refinement are reported in Table II. A projection of this model on the xy plane is shown in fig. 2.

The third model is identical with the room temperature structure. If one excludes the pointsymmetry argument resulting from the NMR data, this last model is entirely consistent with our powder and single-crystal X-ray data. For comparison purposes the crystal data corresponding to this last model are reported in Table III.

Discussion

The acentric model can be discarded since the selenium z-coordinates are centrosymmetrically related plus or minus one standard deviation. Secondly, the R factor is identical to the centro-symmetrical model. And thirdly, the acentric space group is not compatible with the NMR results. The only significant difference between the second

TABLE III CRYSTAL DATA OF NbSe2 AT 15°K

Same unit cell as a	00	m ten	nperature		
a = 3.440(1)					
c = 12.482(2)					
c/a = 3.628					
Space group $P6_3/l$	mm	с.			
2 formula units pe	er u	nit ce			
$2 \text{ Nb in } 00\frac{1}{4}$					
4 Se in $\frac{4}{3}z$; $z = 0$.	116	3 (2)			
Overall temperatu	ire i	actor	r = 0.10(4) A	Λ'	
h	k	1	F_0	F _c	
0	0	4	48	49	
ů 0	õ	6	87	90	
Ő	õ	8	130	132	
Ő	Ő	12	20	19	
0	0	14	87	79	
0	0	16	71	68	
0	0	22	59	59	
1	Ő	0	8	8	
2	0	0	4	5	
2	2	0	93	96	
3	3	0	59	63	
1	1	2	41	41	
2	2	4	28	26	
2	2	2	25	27	
2	2	6	51	50	
1	1	4	39	39	
2	2	8	80	80	
1	1	6	72	72	
1	1	8	107	112	
2	2	16	49	49	

 $R = \sum |\Delta F| / \sum |F_0| = 3.1 \%$

6 Nb-Nb 3.440 Å

6 Nb-Se 2.593 (2) Å

6 Se-Se 3.440 Å in the xy plane

Se-Se 3.337(5) Å along the z axis

Se-Se between two layers 3.518 (5) Å

Vertical Separation of Layers 2.904 Å

and the third models is the displacement of $\frac{2}{3}$ of the niobium atoms in the xy plane. This displacement is 3.7 times one standard deviation. The R factor for the second model is 2.8% as against 3.1% for the third model. In addition, the second model is commensurate with the NMR results, whereas the third model is not. Another possibility which would be consistent with the X-ray and NMR data involves the loss of the hexagonal crystal symmetry. However, careful scans of the three back-reflection peaks (with $2\theta > 147$) showed no line broadening. From the machine settings we estimated that there was no change in crystal symmetry within 1/30,000. A



FIG. 2. A projection of the double-*a* low-temperature structure of 2H-NbSe₂ on the *xy* plane. The heights of the atoms are given in angstroms, and the Nb(1) displacements have been exaggerated for clarity.

distortion less than this limit could not explain the splittings in the NMR spectra.

The six equal Nb-Se distances in the trigonal prism at room temperature are 2.595 Å. The prism edges in the basal plane are 3.445 Å or 3.3% larger than the edges along the z-axis which are 3.333 Å. The vertical separation of sandwiches is 2.939 Å. Each Nb atom has six equidistant Nb neighbors at 3.445 Å in the basal plane. At 15°K in the double-a structure there are two independent Nb sites. The Nb(1) atom moves out of the point symmetry $\delta m2$ toward a prism face. As a consequence of this displacement this face elongates along the z-axis and contracts in the basal plane. The remaining vertical edge of the prism contracts. There are two Nb-Se distances of 2.613 Å and four of 2.576 Å, the

average being 2.588 Å. The Nb(2) atoms remain in the same point symmetry at the body center of the prism. The six Nb-Se distances are 2.608 Å. This value is slightly larger than the room temperature value of 2.595 Å. The overall average Nb-Se distance at 15°K remains 2.595 Å. The vertical separation of sandwiches at 15°K is 2.906 Å which is 1.1% smaller than the room temperature value of 2.939 Å. However, the *c* parameter decreases only 0.5% from 298 to 15°K, since the average height of the sandwiches increases slightly along the vertical axis. At 15°K for Nb(2) the six equidistant Nb neighbors are at 3.440 Å as against 3.445 Å at room temperature. For Nb(1) there are two neighbors at 3.440 Å, two at 3.372 Å, and two at 3.51 Å.

We believe that the coupling of the Nb(1) atoms could be the motivating cause for the crystallographic distortion at 40°K. Certainly the anomalous contraction of the empty Se-octahedra and the smaller decrease in the c/a ratio cannot be explained in terms of normal thermal effects.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Robbins and V. G. Lambrecht, Jr. for supplying single crystals and F. R. Gamble for the powder samples. We also thank T. H. Geballe, D. B.McWhan, E. Ehrenfreund and A. C. Gossard for valuable discussions.

References

- 1. J. A. WILSON AND A. D. YOFFE, Advan. Phys. 18, 193(1969).
- 2. H. N. S. LEE, M. GARCIA, H. MCKINZIE, AND A. WOLD, J. Solid State Chem. 1, 190 (1970).
- 3. E. EHRENFREUND, A. C. GOSSARD, F. R. GAMBLE, AND T. H. GEBALLE, J. Appl. Phys. 42, 1491 (1971).
- 4. P. D. DERNIER, unpublished.
- 5. D. T. CROMER AND J. T. WABER, Acta Crystallogr. 18, 104 (1965).
- 6. D. T. CROMER, Acta Crystallogr. 18, 17 (1965).
- 7. T. H. GEBALLE, private communication.
- 8. B. E. BROWN AND D. J. BEERNTSEN, Acta Crystallogr. 18, 31 (1965).